## The Committee of 100 on the Federal City



[nancy.witherell@gsa.gov]

## Founded 1923

<u>Chair</u>

Nancy J. MacWood

Vice-Chair

Stephen Hansen

Secretary

Jim Nathanson

**Treasurer** 

Carol F. Aten

<u>Trustees</u> George Clark

Dorothy Douglas Monte Edwards Alma Gates Erik Hein

Larry Hargrove Kathy Henderson George Idelson Caroline Petti

Elizabeth Purcell

Laura M. Richards, Esq. Marilyn J. Simon

Pat Tiller
Frank Vespe
Bill Wright

945 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202.681.0225

info@committeeof100.net

June 28, 2016

Ms. Nancy Witherell

Regional Historic Preservation Officer U.S. General Services Administration

301 7th Street, SW, Room 4004

Washington, DC 20407

Re: St. Elizabeths West Campus Plan

Dear Ms. Witherell:

The Committee of 100 on the Federal City would like to take this opportunity to express its concurrence with comments made by several consulting parties at the June 7th and June 21st Section 106 meetings concerning the lack of landscape or walking path elements in the proposed West Addition designs.

We are well aware that there is an approved landscape master plan. However, sequencing of this project necessitates updating the initial plan to include the West Addition as the design develops. The absence of landscape elements in the presentations makes it very difficult to evaluate how the West Addition architecture fits into the overall design, especially the way the West Addition will appear to frequent visitors from the USCG building and to the employees who will use this as one of the primary entrances to the Center Building. At the very least, we suggest that overlays of the approved landscape plan for the areas around the West Addition might suggest how the various elements – both historic and new buildings – fit together.

The absence of a landscape scheme tailored to the West Addition denies us the opportunity to envision how the integrity and cohesion of the proposed plan works. This is to the detriment of the careful work being done on this project. Coordination with the approved landscape plan is a necessity, but adjustments

Ms. Nancy Witherell June 28, 2016 Page Two

must be made to it as the design of the new buildings develops. As the proposal currently exist, it is impossible to evaluate the designs for the new construction.

I would very much appreciate your distributing these comments to the other consulting parties.

Sincerely yours,

Charles J. Robertson, Co-chair

Historic Preservation Subcommittee

cc: Danielle.Breaux, Senior Advisor, GSA [Danielle.Breaux@gsa.gov]

Thomas Luebke, Secretary CFA [tluebke@cfa.go]